

checked by AT  
2/3/17

Validation Qualifiers  
in database

-HR

## CERTIFICATION

SDG No: MC49081 Laboratory: Accutest, Massachusetts  
Site: BMS, Building 5 Area, PR Matrix: Groundwater  
Humacao, PR

**SUMMARY:** Groundwater samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – Building 5 Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were collected December 6 - 7, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Marlborough, Massachusetts that reported the data under SDG No.: MC49081. Results were validated using the following quality control criteria of the methods employed (MADEP VPH and MAPED EPH, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, 2004) and the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
MC49081-1	EB120616	AQ – Equipment Blank	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49081-2	UP-2	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49081-3	FB120616	AQ – Field Blank Water	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49081-4	EB120716	AQ – Equipment Blank	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49081-5	S-40D	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49081-6	S-41D	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49081-6 MS	S-41D	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49081-6 MSD	S-41D	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49081-7	S-40S	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49081-8	S-41S	Groundwater	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC49081-9	FB120716	AQ – Field Blank Water	Volatiles TPHC Ranges Extractable TPHC Ranges

Reviewer Name: Rafael Infante  
Chemist License 1888

Signature:

*Rafael Infante*

Date:

January 7, 2017



SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	EB120616	Date Sampled:	12/06/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC49081-1	Date Received:	12/10/16
Matrix:	AQ - Equipment Blank	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP VPH REV 1.1		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	BH40854.D	1	12/13/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GBH2439
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

## Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	9.7	ug/l	
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	88%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	83%		70-130%



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: EB120616  
 Lab Sample ID: MC49081-1  
 Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank  
 Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C  
 Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/06/16  
 Date Received: 12/10/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16465.D	1	12/21/16	TA	12/19/16	OP49293	GDE918
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	950 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

## Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	110	30	ug/l	
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	110	18	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	110	29	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	ND	110	30	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	83%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	73%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	48%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	76%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: UP-2  
 Lab Sample ID: MC49081-2  
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water  
 Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1  
 Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/06/16  
 Date Received: 12/10/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	BH40850.D	1	12/13/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GBH2439
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

## Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	15.5	50	8.8	ug/l	J
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	16.9	50	8.0	ug/l	J
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	10.1	50	9.7	ug/l	J
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	12.0	50	8.8	ug/l	J
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	88%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	83%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	UP-2	Date Sampled:	12/06/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC49081-2	Date Received:	12/10/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16475.D	1	12/21/16	TA	12/19/16	OP49293	GDE919
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	970 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

## Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	100	30	ug/l	
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	28	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	ND	100	30	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	73%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	64%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	53%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	67%		40-140%



ND = Not detected    MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: FB120616  
 Lab Sample ID: MC49081-3  
 Matrix: AQ - Field Blank Water  
 Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1  
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/06/16  
 Date Received: 12/10/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	BH40855.D	1	12/13/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GBH2439
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

## Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	9.7	ug/l	
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	83%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	79%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: FB120616  
 Lab Sample ID: MC49081-3  
 Matrix: AQ - Field Blank Water  
 Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C  
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/06/16  
 Date Received: 12/10/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16468.D	1	12/21/16	TA	12/19/16	OP49293	GDE918
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	990 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

## Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	100	29	ug/l	
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	27	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	ND	100	29	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	76%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	64%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	40%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	67%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: EB120716  
 Lab Sample ID: MC49081-4  
 Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank  
 Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1  
 Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/07/16  
 Date Received: 12/10/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	BH40868A.D	1	12/14/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GBH2441
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

## Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	9.7	ug/l	
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	83%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	79%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: EB120716  
 Lab Sample ID: MC49081-4  
 Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank  
 Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C  
 Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/07/16  
 Date Received: 12/10/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16483.D	1	12/26/16	TA	12/21/16	OP49307	GDE920
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	960 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

## Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	100	30	ug/l	
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	28	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	ND	100	30	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	77%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	68%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	42%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	70%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-40D  
 Lab Sample ID: MC49081-5  
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water  
 Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1  
 Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/07/16  
 Date Received: 12/10/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	BH40851.D	1	12/13/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GBH2439
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

## Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	9.7	ug/l	
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	88%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	83%		70-130%



ND = Not detected    MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-40D  
 Lab Sample ID: MC49081-5  
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water  
 Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C  
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/07/16  
 Date Received: 12/10/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16503.D	1	12/27/16	TA	12/21/16	OP49307	GDE921
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	990 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

## Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	100	29	ug/l	
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	27	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	ND	100	29	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	70%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	65%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	46%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	67%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-41D  
 Lab Sample ID: MC49081-6  
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water  
 Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1  
 Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/07/16  
 Date Received: 12/10/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	BH40843.D	1	12/13/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GBH2439
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

## Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	9.7	ug/l	
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	88%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	84%		70-130%



ND = Not detected      MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-41D  
 Lab Sample ID: MC49081-6  
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water  
 Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C  
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/07/16  
 Date Received: 12/10/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16485.D	1	12/26/16	TA	12/21/16	OP49307	GDE920
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	960 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

## Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	100	30	ug/l	
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	28	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	ND	100	30	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	72%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	67%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	40%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	70%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-40S  
 Lab Sample ID: MC49081-7  
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water  
 Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1  
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/07/16  
 Date Received: 12/10/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	BH40852.D	1	12/13/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GBH2439
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

## Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	9.7	ug/l	
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	87%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	82%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-40S  
 Lab Sample ID: MC49081-7  
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water  
 Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C  
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/07/16  
 Date Received: 12/10/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16486.D	1	12/26/16	TA	12/21/16	OP49307	GDE920
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	990 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

## Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	100	29	ug/l	
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	27	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	ND	100	29	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	68%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	66%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	40%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	69%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-41S  
 Lab Sample ID: MC49081-8  
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water  
 Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1  
 Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/07/16  
 Date Received: 12/10/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	BH40853.D	1	12/13/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GBH2439
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

## Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	9.7	ug/l	
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	89%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	84%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: S-41S  
 Lab Sample ID: MC49081-8  
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water  
 Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C  
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/07/16  
 Date Received: 12/10/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16504.D	1	12/27/16	TA	12/21/16	OP49307	GDE921
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	970 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

## Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	31.5	100	30	ug/l	J
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	25.9	100	17	ug/l	J
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	54.9	100	28	ug/l	J
	C11-C22 Aromatics	31.5	100	30	ug/l	J

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	59%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	58%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	44%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	59%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: FB120716  
 Lab Sample ID: MC49081-9  
 Matrix: AQ - Field Blank Water  
 Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1  
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/07/16  
 Date Received: 12/10/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	BH40857.D	1	12/13/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GBH2439
Run #2							

Run #	Purge Volume
Run #1	5.0 ml
Run #2	

## Volatile TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	50	9.7	ug/l	
	C5- C8 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.8	ug/l	
	C9- C12 Aliphatics	ND	50	8.0	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	87%		70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	83%		70-130%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest LabLink@170787 10:23 04-Jan-2017

## Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: FB120716  
 Lab Sample ID: MC49081-9  
 Matrix: AQ - Field Blank Water  
 Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C  
 Project: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Date Sampled: 12/07/16  
 Date Received: 12/10/16  
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE16489.D	1	12/26/16	TA	12/21/16	OP49307	GDE920
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	960 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

## Extractable TPHC Ranges

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	100	30	ug/l	
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	100	17	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	100	28	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	ND	100	30	ug/l	

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	79%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	70%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	52%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	73%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit  
 RL = Reporting Limit  
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value  
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank  
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

## Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Summary

Page 1 of 1

Job Number: MC49081

Account: AMANYWP Anderson Mulholland and Assoc.

Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Sample	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
MC49081-6MS	BH40844.D	1	12/13/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GBH2439
MC49081-6MSD	BH40845.D	1	12/13/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GBH2439
MC49081-6	BH40843.D	1	12/13/16	AF	n/a	n/a	GBH2439

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: MADEP VPH REV 1.1

MC49081-1, MC49081-2, MC49081-3, MC49081-5, MC49081-6, MC49081-7, MC49081-8, MC49081-9

CAS No.	Compound	MC49081-6 ug/l	Spike Q ug/l	MS ug/l	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
	C5- C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	300	277	93	300	278	93	0	70-130/25
	C9- C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	ND	450	438	109	450	437	109	0	70-130/25
	C9- C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	150	146	97	150	146	97	0	70-130/25

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	MS	MSD	MC49081-6	Limits
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	94%	95%	88%	70-130%
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	89%	89%	84%	70-130%



\* = Outside of Control Limits.

## Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Summary

Page 1 of 1

Job Number: MC49081

Account: AMANYWP Anderson Mulholland and Assoc.

Project: BSMC, Building 5 Area, Puerto Rico

Sample	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
OP49307-MS	DE16481.D	1	12/26/16	TA	12/21/16	OP49307	GDE920
OP49307-MSD	DE16482.D	1	12/26/16	TA	12/21/16	OP49307	GDE920
MC49081-6	DE16485.D	1	12/26/16	TA	12/21/16	OP49307	GDE920

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1

MC49081-4, MC49081-5, MC49081-6, MC49081-7, MC49081-8, MC49081-9

CAS No.	Compound	MC49081-6 ug/l	Spike Q ug/l	MS ug/l	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	ND	833	723	83	851	585	65	21	40-140/25
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	312	208	67	319	181	57	14	40-140/25
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	417	394	95	426	348	82	12	40-140/25

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	MS	MSD	MC49081-6	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	87%	69%	72%	40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	73%	66%	67%	40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	51%	51%	40%	40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	74%	67%	70%	40-140%



\* = Outside of Control Limits.



PAGE 1 OF 1

FED-EX Tracking # <b>7770 95595235</b>	Same Order Control #
Accession Control #	Assessment Job # <b>ML49081</b>

[illegible]

ה

**MC49081: Chain of Custody**  
**Page 1 of 2**

## EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No: **MC49081** Laboratory: **Accutest, Massachusetts**  
Analysis: **MADEP VPH** Number of Samples: **11**  
Location: **BMSMC, Building 5 Area**  
**Humacao, PR**

**SUMMARY:** Eleven (11) samples were analyzed for Volatiles TPHC Ranges by method MADEP VPH. Samples were validated following the METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF VOLATILE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (VPH) quality control criteria, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

**Critical issues:** **None**  
**Major:** **None**  
**Minor:** **None**

**Critical findings:** **None**  
**Major findings:** **None**  
**Minor findings:** 1. Continuing and final calibration verification meets method specific requirements except in the cases described in the Data Review Worksheet. Results for rt5.5 – 7 petroleum hydrocarbons qualified as estimated (J or UJ) in affected samples.

**COMMENTS:** Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

**Reviewers Name:** **Rafael Infante**  
**Chemist License 1888**

**Signature:**

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "Rafael Infante", is written over a horizontal line.

**Date:**

**January 7, 2017**

# SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: MC49081-1  
 Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
 Sampling date: 12/6/2016  
 Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

## METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49081-2  
 Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
 Sampling date: 12/6/2016  
 Matrix: Groundwater

## METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	15.5	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	16.9	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	10.1	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	12.0	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49081-3  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/6/2016  
Matrix: AQ - Field Blank Water

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49081-4  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/7/2016  
Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49081-5  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/7/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49081-6  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/7/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49081-7  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/7/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49081-8  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/7/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49081-9  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/7/2016  
Matrix: AQ - Field Blank Water

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics	50	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: MC49081-6MS  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/7/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	277	ug/L	1	-	J	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	438	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	146	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes

Sample ID: MC49081-6MSD  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/7/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP VPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç5 - C8 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	278	ug/L	1	-	J	Yes ✓
Ç9 - C12 Aliphatics (Unadj.)	437	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Ç9 - C10 Aromatics (Unadj.)	146	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Type of validation      Full: X      Project Number: MC49081  
                                  Limited: \_\_\_\_\_      Date: 12/06-07/2016  
                                       Shipping date: 12/08/2016  
                                       EPA Region: 2

## REVIEW OF VOLATILE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBON (VPHs) PACKAGE

The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics were created to delineate required validation actions. This document will assist the reviewer in using professional judgment to make more informed decision and in better serving the needs of the data users. The sample results were assessed according to the data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF VOLATILE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (VPH), Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

The hardcopied (laboratory name) Accutest Laboratories data package received has been reviewed and the quality control and performance data summarized. The data review for SVOCs included:

Lab. Project/SDG No.: MC49081      Sample matrix: Groundwater  
 No. of Samples: 11  
 Field blank No.: MC49081-3; MC49081-9  
 Equipment blank No.: MC49081-1; MC49081-4  
 Trip blank No.: -  
 Field duplicate No.: -

<u>X</u> Data Completeness	<u>X</u> Laboratory Control Spikes
<u>X</u> Holding Times	<u>X</u> Field Duplicates
<u>N/A</u> GC/MS Tuning	<u>X</u> Calibrations
<u>N/A</u> Internal Standard Performance	<u>X</u> Compound Identifications
<u>X</u> Blanks	<u>X</u> Compound Quantitation
<u>X</u> Surrogate Recoveries	<u>X</u> Quantitation Limits
<u>X</u> Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	

Overall      Comments:  
\_Volatiles\_by\_GC\_by\_Method\_MADEP\_VPH\_REV\_1.1.

### Definition of Qualifiers:

J- Estimated results  
 U- Compound not detected  
 R- Rejected data  
 UJ- Estimated nondetect

Reviewer: Rafael Infante  
 Date: January 7, 2017

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   x    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

- I. DATA COMPLETNESS
  - A. Data Package:

<u>MISSING INFORMATION</u>	<u>DATE LAB. CONTACTED</u>	<u>DATE RECEIVED</u>
----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------

_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____

- |          |                |
|----------|----------------|
| B. Other | Discrepancies: |
|----------|----------------|

_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

### HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of extraction, and subsequently from the time of extraction to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED	DATE ANALYZED	ACTION
Samples analyzed within method recommended holding time. Sample preservation within the required criteria.				

### Criteria

#### Preservation:

Samples analyzed with ambient purge temperature: Samples must be acidified to a pH of 2.0 or less at the time of collection.

Samples analyzed with heated purge temperature: Samples must be treated to a pH of 11.0 or greater at the time of collection.

Methanol preservation of soil/sediment samples is mandatory. Methanol (purge-and-trap grade) must be added to the sample vial before or immediately after sample collection. In lieu of the in-field preservation of samples with methanol, soil samples may be obtained in specially-designed air tight sampling devices, provided that the samples are extruded and preserved in methanol within 48 hours of collection.

#### Holding times:

Aqueous samples using ambient or heated purge - analyze within 14 days.

Soil/sediment samples - analysis within 28 days.

Cooler temperature (Criteria:  $4 \pm 2$  °C):   2.7°C  

#### Actions: Qualify positive results/non-detects as follows:

If holding times are exceeded, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify data. The data reviewer may choose to estimate positive results (J) and rejects nondetects (R).

If samples were not at the proper temperature ( $> 10^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) or improperly preserved, use professional judgment to qualify the results.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

### CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:   10/31/16  

Dates of initial calibration verification:   10/31/16  

Instrument ID numbers:            GCBH           

Matrix/Level:            AQUEOUS/MEDIUM           

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial and initial calibration verification meet method specific requirements				

#### Criteria- ICAL

- Five point calibration curve.
- The percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the analyte of interest. When this condition is met, linearity through the origin may be assumed, and the average calibration factor is used in lieu of a calibration curve.
- A collective calibration factor must also be established for each hydrocarbon range of interest. Calculate the collective CFs for C5-C8 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons and C9-C12 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons using the FID chromatogram. Calculate the collective CF for the C9-C10 Aromatic Hydrocarbons using the PID chromatogram. Tabulate the summation of the peak areas of all components in that fraction against the total concentration injected. The %RSD of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the hydrocarbon range of interest.

#### Criteria- CCAL

- At a minimum, the working calibration factor must be verified on each working day, after every 20 samples, and at the end of the analytical sequence by the injection of a mid-level continuing calibration standard to verify instrument performance and linearity.
- If the percent difference (%D) for any analyte varies from the predicted response by more than  $\pm 25\%$ , a new five-point calibration must be performed for that analyte. Greater percent differences are permissible for n-nonane. If the %D for n-nonane is greater than 30, note the nonconformance in the case narrative. It should be noted that the %Ds are calculated when CFs are used for the initial calibration and

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

percent drifts are calculated when calibration curves using linear regression are used for the initial calibration.

### Actions:

If %RSD > 25% for target compounds or a correlation coefficient < 0.99, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects.

If % D > 25% (> 30 for nonane), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

### CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration: \_\_\_\_\_ 10/31/16 \_\_\_\_\_

Dates of continuing calibration verification: \_\_\_\_\_ 12/13/16; 12/14/16 \_\_\_\_\_

Dates of final calibration verification: \_\_\_\_\_ 10/31/16; 12/13/16; 12/14/16 \_\_\_\_\_

Instrument ID numbers: \_\_\_\_\_ GCBH \_\_\_\_\_

Matrix/Level: \_\_\_\_\_ AQUEOUS/MEDIUM \_\_\_\_\_

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Continuing and final calibration verification meets method specific requirements except in the cases described in this document.				
12/13/16	cc2394-50	rt5.5 - 7	28.7 %	MC49081-1 to -9; MC49081-6MS/- 6MSD
12/13/16	cc2394-50	rt5.5 - 7	32.0 %	

**Note:** Results for rt5.5 – 7 petroleum hydrocarbons qualified as estimated (J or UJ) in affected samples.

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

## V A. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data. A Laboratory Method Blank must be run after samples suspected of being highly contaminated to determine if sample carryover has occurred.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_METHOD_BLANKS_MEET_THE_METHOD_SPECIFIC_CRITERIA._				

**Note:**

### Field/Trip/Equipment

A methanol trip blank or acidified reagent water trip blank **should** continually accompany each soil/sediment sample or water sample batch, respectively, during sampling, storage, and analysis.

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_NO_TRIP_BLANK_ASSOCIATED_WITH_THIS_DATA_PACKAGE._				
_NO_TARGET_ANALYTES_DETECTED_IN_FIELD/EQUIPMENT_BLANKS_ANALYZED_WITH_THIS_DATA_PACKAGE._				

**Note:**

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

### V      B.      BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

#### Blank Actions

The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. Peaks must not be detected above the Reporting Limit within the retention time window of any analyte of interest. The hydrocarbon ranges must not be detected at a concentration greater than 10% of the most stringent MCP cleanup standard. Specific actions area as follows:

If the concentration is  $<$  sample quantitation limit (SQL) and  $<$  AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is  $\geq$  SQL but  $<$  AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is  $>$  AL, report the concentration unqualified.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met and/or see below           

### SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

Matrix: solid/aqueous

SAMPLE ID	SURROGATE COMPOUND	ACTION
	2,3,4-Trifluorotoluene	
<u>  SURROGATE_STANDARD_RECOVERIES_WITHIN_LABORATORY_CONTROL  </u>		
<u>  LIMITS  </u>		

QC Limits\* (Aqueous)

       LL   to   UL          70   to   130          to         

QC Limits\* (Solid)

       LL   to   UL               to                 to         

It is recommended that surrogate standard recoveries be monitored and documented on a continuing basis. At a minimum, when surrogate recovery from a sample, blank, or QC sample is less than 70% or more than 130%, check calculations to locate possible errors, check the fortifying standard solution for degradation, and check changes in instrument performance.

If the cause cannot be determined, reanalyze the sample unless one of the following exceptions applies:

- (1) Obvious interference is present on the chromatogram (e.g., unresolved complex mixture);
- (2) Percent moisture of associated soil/sediment sample is >25% and surrogate recovery is >10%; or
- (3) The surrogate exhibits high recovery and associated target analytes or hydrocarbon ranges are not detected in sample.

If a sample with a surrogate recovery outside of the acceptable range is not reanalyzed based on any of these aforementioned exceptions, this information must be noted on the data report form and discussed in the Executive Report. Analysis of the sample on dilution may diminish matrix-related surrogate recovery problems. This approach can be used as long as the reporting limits to evaluate applicable MCP standards can still be achieved with the dilution. If not, reanalysis without dilution must be performed.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

### VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples.

At the request of the data user, and in consideration of sample matrices and data quality objectives, matrix spikes and matrix duplicates may be analyzed with every batch of 20 samples or less per matrix.

- **Matrix duplicate** - Matrix duplicates are prepared by analyzing one sample in duplicate. The purpose of the matrix duplicates is to determine the homogeneity of the sample matrix as well as analytical precision. The RPD of detected results in the matrix duplicate samples must not exceed 50 when the results are greater than 5x the reporting limit.
- The desired spiking level is 50% of the highest calibration standard. However, the total concentration in the MS (including the MS and native concentration in the unspiked sample) should not exceed 75% of the highest calibration standard in order for a proper evaluation to be performed. The purpose of the matrix spike is to determine whether the sample matrix contributes bias to the analytical results. The corrected concentrations of each analyte within the matrix spiking solution must be within 70 - 130% of the true value. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible (if included in the calibration of the C9-C12 aliphatic range), but must be noted in the narrative if <30%.

#### MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

Sample ID: MC49081-6\_MS/MSD      Matrix/Level: Groundwater

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the QC criteria.

**Note:** MS/MSD % recovery and RPD within laboratory control limits.

No action is taken on MS/MSD results alone to qualify the entire case. However, used informed professional judgment, the data reviewer may use the MS/MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data. In those instances where it can be determined that the results of the MS/MSD affect only the sample spiked, the qualification should be limited to this sample alone. However, it may be determined through the MS/MSD results that the laboratory is having a systematic problem in the analysis of one or more analytes, which affects the associated samples.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

### 2. MS/MSD – Unspiked Compounds

List the concentrations of the unspiked compounds and determine the % RSDs of these compounds in the unspiked sample, matrix spike, and matrix spike duplicate.

COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION		MS	MSD	%RPD	ACTION
	SAMPLE					

Criteria: None specified, use  $\%RSD \leq 50$  as professional judgment.

#### Actions:

If the  $\% RSD > 50$ , qualify the results in the spiked sample as estimate (J).

If the  $\% RSD$  is not calculable (NC) due to nondetect value in the sample, MS, and/or MSD, use professional judgment to qualify sample data.

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X  
Criteria were not met and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

## VIII. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS/LCSD) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

## 1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT	ACTION
--------	----------	-----	----------	--------

LCS RECOVERY WITHIN LABORATORY CONTROL LIMITS

**Criteria:**

- \* Refer to QAPP for specific criteria.
- \* The spike recovery must be between 70% and 130%. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible (if included in the calibration of the C9-C12 aliphatic range). If the recovery of n-nonane is <30%, note the nonconformance in the executive narrative

**Actions:**

Actions on LCS recovery should be based on both the number of compounds that are outside the %R criteria and the magnitude of the exceedance of the criteria.

If the %R of the analyte is > UL, qualify all positive results (j) for the affected analyte in the associated samples and accept nondetects.

If the %R of the analyte is < LL, qualify all positive results (j) and reject (R) nondetects for the affected analyte in the associated samples.

If more than half the compounds in the LCS are not within the required recovery criteria, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R) for all target analyte(s) in the associated samples.

## 2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix (1 per 20 samples per matrix)? **Yes** or No.

If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected. Discuss the actions below:

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met \_\_\_\_\_  
 Criteria were not met and/or see below   N/A  

### IX. FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION

Sample IDs: \_\_\_\_\_ - \_\_\_\_\_

Matrix: \_\_\_\_\_ - \_\_\_\_\_

Field/laboratory duplicate samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which measures only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
No field/laboratory duplicate analyzed with this data package. MS/MSD % recovery RPD used to assess precision. RPD within laboratory and validation guidance document criteria (+ 50 %) for analytes detected above reporting limits.					

#### Criteria:

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.  
 RPD  $\pm$  30% for aqueous samples, RPD  $\pm$  50 % for solid samples if results are  $\geq$  SQL.  
 If both samples and duplicate are  $<5$  SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

SQL = soil quantitation limit

#### Actions:

If both the sample and the duplicate results are nondetects (ND), the RPD is not calculable (NC). No action is needed.

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria.

If one sample result is not detected and the other is  $\geq 5x$  the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

**Note:** If SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is  $< 5x$  the SQL, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

### XI. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The compound identification evaluation is to verify that the laboratory correctly identified target analytes as well as tentatively identified compounds (TICs).

1. Verify that the target analytes were within the retention time windows.
  - Retention time windows must be re-established for each Target VPH Analyte each time a new GC column is installed, and must be verified and/or adjusted on a daily basis.
  - Coelution of the m- and p- xylene isomers is permissible.
  - All surrogates must be adequately resolved from individual Target Analytes included in the VPH Component Standard.
  - For the purposes of this method, adequate resolution is assumed to be achieved if the height of the valley between two peaks is less than 25% of the average height of the two peaks.
  - The n-pentane (C5) and MtBE peaks must be adequately resolved from any solvent front that may be present on the FID and PID chromatograms, respectively.

**Note:** Target analytes were within the retention time window.

2. If target analytes and/or TICs were not correctly identified, request that the laboratory resubmit the corrected data.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

### XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS AND SAMPLE RESULTS

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results.

1. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

Blank Spike                                      VPH (C9 – C12 Aliphatics)                                      RF =  $9.607 \times 10^5$

FID

$$[ ] = (34542073) / (9.607 \times 10^5)$$

$$[ ] = 35.96 \text{ ppb} \quad \text{Ok}$$

Blank Spike                                      VPH (C9 – C10 Aromatics)                                      RF =  $5.148 \times 10^5$

PID

$$[ ] = (25918225) / (5.148 \times 10^5)$$

$$[ ] = 50.34 \text{ ppb} \quad \text{Ok}$$

2. If requested, verify that the results were above the laboratory method detection limit (MDLs).

3. If dilutions performed, were the SQLs elevated accordingly by the laboratory? List the affected samples and dilution factor in the table below.

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION

If dilution was not performed and the results were above the concentration range, estimate results (J) for the affected compounds. List the affected samples/compounds:

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

## EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No: **MC49081** Laboratory: **Accutest, Massachusetts**  
Analysis: **MADEP EPH** Number of Samples: **11**  
Location: **BMSMC, Building 5 Area**  
**Humacao, PR**

**SUMMARY:** Eleven (11) were analyzed for Extractable TPHC Ranges by method MADEP EPH. Samples were validated following the METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPH) quality control criteria, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

**Critical issues:** **None**  
**Major:** **None**  
**Minor:** **None**

**Critical findings:** **None**  
**Major findings:** **None**  
**Minor findings:** 1. Initial and continuing calibration meets method specific requirements except for the cases described in the Data Review Worksheet. Results for C11 – C22 Aromatics qualified as estimated (J or UJ) in samples MC49081-1 to MC49081-9.

Results for C19 – C36 Aliphatics qualified as estimated (J or UJ) in samples MC49081-1 to MC49081-3.

**COMMENTS:** Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

**Reviewers Name:** **Rafael Infante**  
**Chemist License 1888**

**Signature:**



**Date:** **January 7, 2017**

## SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: MC49081-1

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 12/6/2016

Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

### METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9- C18 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓

Sample ID: MC49081-2

Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area

Sampling date: 12/6/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

### METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9- C18 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓

Sample ID: MC49081-3  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/6/2016  
Matrix: AQ - Field Blank Water

METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9- C18 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓

Sample ID: MC49081-4  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/7/2016  
Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9- C18 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓

Sample ID: MC49081-5  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/7/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9- C18 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓

Sample ID: MC49081-6  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/7/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9- C18 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓

Sample ID: MC49081-7  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/7/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9- C18 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓

Sample ID: MC49081-8  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/7/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	31.5	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç9- C18 Aliphatics	25.9	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	54.9	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	31.5	ug/L	1	J	J	Yes

Sample ID: MC49081-9  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/7/2016  
Matrix: AQ - Field Blank Water

METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓
Ç9- C18 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	100	ug/L	1	-	U	Yes
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics	100	ug/L	1	-	UJ	Yes ✓

Sample ID: MC49081-6MS  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/7/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	833	ug/L	1	-	J	Yes ✓
Ç9- C18 Aliphatics	312	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	417	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes

Sample ID: MC49081-6MSD  
Sample location: BMSMC Building 5 Area  
Sampling date: 12/7/2016  
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: MADEP EPH

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Ç11 - C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	585	ug/L	1	-	J	Yes ✓
Ç9- C18 Aliphatics	181	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes
Ç19 - C36 Aliphatics	348	ug/L	1	-	-	Yes

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Type of validation      Full: ☒ \_\_\_\_\_  
                                  Limited: \_\_\_\_\_  
                                  Project Number: MC49081 \_\_\_\_\_  
                                  Date: 12/06-07/2016 \_\_\_\_\_  
                                  Shipping date: 12/08/2016 \_\_\_\_\_  
                                  EPA Region: 2 \_\_\_\_\_

## REVIEW OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBON (EPHs) PACKAGE

The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics were created to delineate required validation actions. This document will assist the reviewer in using professional judgment to make more informed decision and in better serving the needs of the data users. The sample results were assessed according to the data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPH), Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

The hardcopied (laboratory name) Accutest Laboratories data package received has been reviewed and the quality control and performance data summarized. The data review for SVOCs included:

Lab. Project/SDG No.: MC49081      Sample matrix: Groundwater  
 No. of Samples: 11  
 Field blank No.: MC49081-3; MC49081-9  
 Equipment blank No.: MC49081-1; MC49081-4  
 Trip blank No.: -  
 Field duplicate No.: -

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data Completeness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Laboratory Control Spikes
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Holding Times	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Field Duplicates
<input type="checkbox"/> GC/MS Tuning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Calibrations
<input type="checkbox"/> Internal Standard Performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Identifications
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Blanks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Quantitation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surrogate Recoveries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quantitation Limits
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	

Overall \_\_\_\_\_ Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
Extractable\_Petroleum\_Hydrocarbons\_by\_GC\_by\_Method\_MADEP\_EPH\_REV\_1.1.

### Definition of Qualifiers:

J- Estimated results  
 U- Compound not detected  
 R- Rejected data  
 UJ- Estimated nondetect

Reviewer: Rafael Infante  
 Date: January 7, 2017

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   x    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

- I. DATA COMPLETNESS
- A. Data Package:

<u>MISSING INFORMATION</u>	<u>DATE LAB. CONTACTED</u>	<u>DATE RECEIVED</u>

<u>B. Other</u>	<u>Discrepancies:</u>

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

### HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of extraction, and subsequently from the time of extraction to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED	DATE ANALYZED	ACTION
Samples extracted and analyzed within method recommended holding time				

### Criteria

#### Preservation:

Aqueous samples must be acidified to a pH of 2.0 or less at the time of collection.

Soil samples must be cooled at  $4 \pm 2$  °C immediately after collection.

#### Holding times:

Samples must be extracted within 14 days of collection, and analyzed within 40 days of extraction.

Cooler temperature (Criteria:  $4 \pm 2$  °C):   2.7°C  

#### Actions: Qualify positive results/nondetects as follows:

If holding times are exceeded, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify data. The data reviewer may choose to estimate positive results (J) and rejects nondetects (R).

If samples were not at the proper temperature ( $> 10^{\circ}\text{C}$ ) or improperly preserved, use professional judgment to qualify the results.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

### CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:           12/06/16          

Dates of initial calibration verification:           12/06/16          

Instrument ID numbers:           GCDE          

Matrix/Level:           AQUEOUS/MEDIUM          

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial and continuing calibration meet method specific requirements				

#### Criteria- ICAL

- Five point calibration curve.
- The percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the analyte of interest. When this condition is met, linearity through the origin may be assumed, and the average calibration factor is used in lieu of a calibration curve.
- A collective calibration factor must also be established for each hydrocarbon range of interest. Calculate the collective CFs for C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, C19-C36 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, and C11-C22 Aromatic Hydrocarbons using the FID chromatogram. Tabulate the summation of the peak areas of all components in that fraction against the total concentration injected. The %RSD of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the hydrocarbon range of interest.
  - The area for the surrogates must be subtracted from the area summation of the range in which they elute.
  - The areas associated with naphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene in the aliphatic range standard must be subtracted from the uncorrected collective C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbon range area prior to calculating the CF.

#### Criteria- CCAL

- At a minimum, the working calibration factor must be verified on each working day, after every 20 samples or every 24 hours (whichever is more frequent), and

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

at the end of the analytical sequence by the injection of a mid-level continuing calibration standard to verify instrument performance and linearity.

- If the percent difference (%D) for any analyte varies from the predicted response by more than  $\pm 25\%$ , a new five-point calibration must be performed for that analyte. Greater percent differences are permissible for n-nonane. If the %D for n-nonane is greater than 30, note the nonconformance in the case narrative. It should be noted that the %Ds are calculated when CFs are used for the initial calibration and percent drifts are calculated when calibration curves using linear regression are used for the initial calibration.

### Actions:

If %RSD > 25% for target compounds or a correlation coefficient < 0.99, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects.

If % D > 25% (> 30 for nonane), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

### CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration: \_\_\_\_\_ 12/06/16 \_\_\_\_\_

Dates of continuing calibration verification: \_\_\_\_ 12/20/16;\_12/21/16;\_12/26/16;\_12/27/16\_

Dates of final calibration verification: \_\_\_\_ 12/06/16;\_12/21/16;\_12/26/16;\_12/27/16 \_\_\_\_\_

Instrument ID numbers: \_\_\_\_\_ GCDE \_\_\_\_\_

Matrix/Level: \_SOIL/AQUEOUS/MEDIUM \_\_\_\_\_

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, <u>%D</u> , r	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial and continuing calibration meets method specific requirements except for the cases described in this document.				
12/20/16 2:48 pm	cc908-50	C19-C36 Aliphatics	25.2	MC49081-1 to -3
12/20/16 11:13 pm	cc908-50	C11-C22 Aromatics	99.2	MC49081-1 to -3
12/21/16	cc908-50	C11-C22 Aromatics	99.1	MC49081-1 to -3
12/26/16	cc908-50	C11-C22 Aromatics	99.0	MC49081-4; -6; -7
12/27/16	cc908-50	C11-C22 Aromatics	99.1	MC49081-5; -8; -9

**Note:** Results qualified as estimated (J or UJ) in affected samples.

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

# DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

## V A. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data. A Laboratory Method Blank must be run after samples suspected of being highly contaminated to determine if sample carryover has occurred.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
------------------	--------	------------------	----------	------------------------

  METHOD BLANKS MEET THE METHOD SPECIFIC CRITERIA  

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

### Field/Trip/Equipment

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
------------------	--------	------------------	----------	------------------------

  NO TRIP BLANK ANALYZED ASSOCIATED WITH THIS DATA PACKAGE.  

  NO TARGET ANALYTES DETECTED IN FIELD/EQUIPMENT BLANK  

  ASSOCIATED WITH THIS DATA PACKAGE.  

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

### V      B.      BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

#### Blank Actions

The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. Peaks must not be detected above the Reporting Limit within the retention time window of any analyte of interest. The hydrocarbon ranges must not be detected at a concentration greater than 10% of the most stringent MCP cleanup standard. Specific actions area as follows:

If the concentration is  $<$  sample quantitation limit (SQL) and  $<$  AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is  $\geq$  SQL but  $<$  AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is  $>$  AL, report the concentration unqualified.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X  
Criteria were not met and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

## SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

**Matrix: solid/aqueous**

SAMPLE ID	SURROGATE COMPOUND				ACTION
	S1	S2	S3	S4	
_SURROGATE_STANDARDS_RECOVERIES_WITHIN_LABORATORY_CONTROL_LIMITS._					

**Note:**

S1 = o-Terphenyl 40-140%

**S2 = 2-Fluorobiphenyl 40-140%**

S3 = 1-Chlorooctadecane 40-140%

S4 = 2-Bromonaphthalene 40-140%

QC Limits (%)\* (Aqueous)

LL to UL	40 to 140	40 to 140	40 to 140	40 to 140
----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------

QC Limits\* (Solid)

LL to UL                      to                      to                      to                      to

It is recommended that surrogate standard recoveries be monitored and documented on a continuing basis. At a minimum, when surrogate recovery from a sample, blank, or QC sample is less than 40% or more than 140%, check calculations to locate possible errors, check the fortifying standard solution for degradation, and check changes in instrument performance.

If the cause cannot be determined, reanalyze the sample unless one of the following exceptions applies:

- (1) Obvious interference is present on the chromatogram (e.g., unresolved complex mixture);
- (2) The surrogate exhibits high recovery and associated target analytes or hydrocarbon ranges are not detected in sample.

If a sample with a surrogate recovery outside of the acceptable range is not reanalyzed based on any of these aforementioned exceptions, this information must be noted on the data report form and discussed in the Executive Report. Analysis of the sample on dilution may diminish matrix-related surrogate recovery problems. This approach can be used as long as the reporting limits to evaluate applicable MCP standards can still be achieved with the dilution. If not, reanalysis without dilution must be performed.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X  
 Criteria were not met and/or see below \_\_\_\_\_

### VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples.

At the request of the data user, and in consideration of sample matrices and data quality objectives, matrix spikes and matrix duplicates may be analyzed with every batch of 20 samples or less per matrix.

- **Matrix duplicate** - Matrix duplicates are prepared by analyzing one sample in duplicate. The purpose of the matrix duplicates is to determine the homogeneity of the sample matrix as well as analytical precision. The RPD of detected results in the matrix duplicate samples must not exceed 50 when the results are greater than 5x the reporting limit.
- The desired spiking level is 50% of the highest calibration standard. However, the total concentration in the MS (including the MS and native concentration in the unspiked sample) should not exceed 75% of the highest calibration standard in order for a proper evaluation to be performed. The purpose of the matrix spike is to determine whether the sample matrix contributes bias to the analytical results. The corrected concentrations of each analyte within the matrix spiking solution must be within 40 - 140% of the true value. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible but must be noted in the narrative if <30%.

#### MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

Sample ID: MC49029-1\_MS/MSD Matrix/Level: Groundwater  
 Sample ID: MC49081-6\_MS/MSD Matrix/Level: Groundwater

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the QC criteria.

MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION

**Note:** MS/MSD and RPD within laboratory control limits.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
 Criteria were not met and/or see below           

No action is taken on MS/MSD results alone to qualify the entire case. However, used informed professional judgment, the data reviewer may use the MS/MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data. In those instances where it can be determined that the results of the MS/MSD affect only the sample spiked, the qualification should be limited to this sample alone. However, it may be determined through the MS/MSD results that the laboratory is having a systematic problem in the analysis of one or more analytes, which affects the associated samples.

### 2. MS/MSD – Unspiked Compounds

List the concentrations of the unspiked compounds and determine the % RSDs of these compounds in the unspiked sample, matrix spike, and matrix spike duplicate.

COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION		MSD	%RPD	ACTION
	SAMPLE	MS			

Criteria: None specified, use  $\%RSD \leq 50$  as professional judgment.

Actions:

If the  $\%RSD > 50$ , qualify the results in the spiked sample as estimate (J).

If the  $\%RSD$  is not calculable (NC) due to nondetect value in the sample, MS, and/or MSD, use professional judgment to qualify sample data.

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

### VIII. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS/LCSD) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

#### 1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT	ACTION
<u>  LCS_RECOVERY_WITHIN_LABORATORY_CONTROL_LIMITS_RECOVERY_OF_  </u> <u>  N-NONANE_WAS_&lt;30%_FOR_LABORATORY_CONTROL_SAMPLES_NO_  </u> <u>  ACTION_TAKEN,_PROFESSIONAL_JUDGMENT_  </u>				

#### Criteria:

- \* Refer to QAPP for specific criteria.
- \* The spike recovery must be between 40% and 140%. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible. If the recovery of n-nonane is <30%, note the nonconformance in the executive narrative. RPD between LCS/LCSD must be < 25%.

#### Actions:

Actions on LCS recovery should be based on both the number of compounds that are outside the %R and RPD criteria and the magnitude of the exceedance of the criteria.

If the %R of the analyte is > UL, qualify all positive results (j) for the affected analyte in the associated samples and accept nondetects.

If the %R of the analyte is < LL, qualify all positive results (j) and reject (R) nondetects for the affected analyte in the associated samples.

If more than half the compounds in the LCS are not within the required recovery criteria, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R) for all target analyte(s) in the associated samples.

#### 2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix (1 per 20 samples per matrix)? Yes or No.

If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected. Discuss the actions below:

---



---



---

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

### IX. FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION

Sample IDs:                    -                   

Matrix:            -           

Field/laboratory duplicate samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which measures only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
No field/laboratory duplicate analyzed with this data package. MS/MSD recoveries RPD used to assess precision. RPD within laboratory and generally acceptable control limits					

#### Criteria:

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.  
RPD  $\pm$  30% for aqueous samples, RPD  $\pm$  50 % for solid samples if results are  $\geq$  SQL.  
If both samples and duplicate are  $<5$  SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

SQL = soil quantitation limit

#### Actions:

If both the sample and the duplicate results are nondetects (ND), the RPD is not calculable (NC). No action is needed.

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria.

If one sample result is not detected and the other is  $\geq 5x$  the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

**Note:** If SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is  $< 5x$  the SQL, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

### XI. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The compound identification evaluation is to verify that the laboratory correctly identified target analytes as well as tentatively identified compounds (TICs).

1. Verify that the target analytes were within the retention time windows.
  - Retention time windows must be re-established for each Target EPH Analyte each time a new GC column is installed, and must be verified and/or adjusted on a daily basis.
  - The n-nonane (n-C9) peak must be adequately resolved from the solvent front of the chromatographic run.
  - All surrogates must be adequately resolved from the Aliphatic Hydrocarbon and Aromatic Hydrocarbon standards.
  - For the purposes of this method, adequate resolution is assumed to be achieved if the height of the valley between two peaks is less than 25% of the average height of the two peaks.
  - The n-pentane (C5) and MtBE peaks must be adequately resolved from any solvent front that may be present on the FID and PID chromatograms, respectively.

- 1a. Aliphatic hydrocarbons range:
  - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for n-C9 and 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19.
  - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19 and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for n-C36.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined?

Yes? or No?

Comments:

- 1b. Aromatic hydrocarbons range:
  - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for naphthalene and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for benzo(g,h,i)perylene.
  - Determine the peak area count for the sample surrogate (OTP) and fractionation surrogate(s). Subtract these values from the collective area count value.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined?

Yes? or No?

Comments:

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X  

Criteria were not met and/or see below           

2. If target analytes and/or TICs were not correctly identified, request that the laboratory resubmit the corrected data.
3. Breakthrough determination - Each sample (field and QC sample) must be evaluated for potential breakthrough on a sample specific basis by evaluating the % recovery of the fractionation surrogate (2-bromonaphthalene) and on a batch basis by quantifying naphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene in both the aliphatic and aromatic fractions of the LCS and LCSD. **If either the concentration of naphthalene or 2-methylnaphthalene in the aliphatic fraction exceeds 5% of the total concentration for naphthalene or 2-methylnaphthalene in the LCS or LCSD, fractionation must be repeated on all archived batch extracts.**

**NOTE:** The total concentration of naphthalene or 2-methylnaphthalene in the LCS/LCSD pair includes the summation of the concentration detected in the aliphatic fraction and the concentration detected in the aromatic fraction.

Comments: Concentration in the aliphatic fraction < 5% of the total  
concentration for naphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

4. **Fractionation Check Standard** – A fractionation check solution is prepared containing 14 alkanes and 17 PAHs at a nominal concentration of 200 ng/μl of each constituent. The Fractionation Check Solution must be used to evaluate the fractionation efficiency of each new lot of silica gel/cartridges, and establish the optimum hexane volume required to efficiently elute aliphatic hydrocarbons while not allowing significant aromatic hydrocarbon breakthrough. For each analyte contained in the fractionation check solution, excluding n-nonane, the Percent Recovery must be between 40 and 140%. A 30% Recovery is acceptable for n-nonane.

Is a fractionation check standard analyzed?

Yes? or No?

Comments: Not applicable.

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met   X    
Criteria were not met and/or see below           

### XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS AND SAMPLE RESULTS

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results.

In order to demonstrate the absence of aliphatic mass discrimination, the response ratio of C28 to C20 must be at least 0.85. If <0.85, this nonconformance must be noted in the laboratory case narrative.

The chromatograms of Continuing Calibration Standards for aromatics must be reviewed to ensure that there are no obvious signs of mass discrimination.

Is aliphatic mass discrimination observed in the sample? Yes? or No?

Is aromatic mass discrimination observed in the sample? Yes? or No?

1. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

MC49081-6MS      EPH (C11 – C22, Aromatics)      RF = 99940

[ ] = (34694533)/(99940)

[ ] = 347.15 ppb    Ok

MC49081-6MS      EPH (C19 – C36, Aliphatics)      RF = 67800

[ ] = (12814172)/(67800)

[ ] = 189.0 ppb    Ok

## DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

2. If requested, verify that the results were above the laboratory method detection limit (MDLs).
3. If dilutions performed, were the SQLs elevated accordingly by the laboratory? List the affected samples and dilution factor in the table below.

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION

If dilution was not performed, estimate results (J) for the affected compounds. List the affected samples/compounds:

---



---